

Annual (April 1, 2011 to March 31, 2012) Performance Evaluation Report of RSCs i.e. Institutions for the year 2011-2012

Name of the Division: Horticulture

Name of the Institution: National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune

RFD Nodal Officer: Dr. J. SATISHA, Sr. Scientist

Sl. No.	Objectives	Weight	Actions	Success Indicators	Unit	Weight	Target / Criteria Value					Achievements	Performance	
							Excellent 100%	Very Good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%		Raw Score	Weighted Score
1	Conservation of genetic resources/germ plasm for sustainable use.	35	Collection, characterization and conservation of genetic resources.	Number of germplasm collected.	Number	10	30	25	20	15	10	31	100	10
			Molecular characterization of accessions	Number of accessions	Number	8	75	68	60	52	45	75	100	8
			Research on conventional breeding for desirable traits	Number of varieties /clones identified/developed/in process of development	Number	6	5	4	3	2	1	5	100	6
			Research on molecular breeding for desirable traits	Generation of segregants for mapping	Number	6	60	50	40	30	20	60	100	6
			Analysis of molecular markers	Analysis of molecular markers	Number	5	30	25	20	15	12	30	100	5

2	Production management and value addition	54	Development of canopy management practices, bioregulator schedule, nutrient and water management techniques, use of rootstocks, developing quality planting material, disease forecasting models, bioefficacy of pesticides, use of biofertilizers/pesticides; value addition, transfer of technology	Number of canopy management components standardized / or in process of testing	Number	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	60	1.8
			Number of growth regulators tested/recommended	Number	3	2	1	1	1	1	2	100	3	
			Number of ongoing trials to develop water and nutrient schedules	Number	3	2	1	1	1	1	2	100	3	
			Development of techniques like use of rootstocks, water conservation techniques	Number	3	2	1	1	1	1	2	100	3	
			Number of quality planting materials produced	Thousands	5	150	125	100	80	60	250	100	5	
			Number of control measures, IPM packages developed for managing biotic stresses	Number	3	5	4	3	2	1	4	90	2.7	
			Number of pesticides tested/recommended	Number	6	10	9	8	7	6	25	100	6	
			Number of studies on pesticide degradation	Number	3	5	4	3	2	1	5	100	3	
			Number of bio pesticides, bio fertilizers tested/recommended	Number	3	5	4	3	2	1	3	80	2.4	
			Number of samples analyzed for pesticide	Number	5	2800	2600	2400	2200	2000	3814	100	5	

			residues											
			Number of experiments on value addition techniques	Number	3	5	4	3	2	1	5	100	3	
			Participation in field visits, seminars, discussions	Number	8	25	22	20	18	15	50	100	8	
			Publication of bulletins, videos, films, database, TV program, radio talks etc	Number	6	10	8	6	4	2	6	80	4.8	
3	. Efficient Functioning of the RFD System	11	Timely submission of RFD for 2011-12	On-time submission	Date	2%	June 10 2011	June 12 2011	June 16 2011	June 20 2011	June 22 2011	June 10 2011	100	2.0
			Timely submission of Results for 2011-12	On-time submission	Date	1%	May 1 2012	May 3 2012	May 4 2012	May 5 2012	May 6 2012	May 01 2012	100	1.0
			Finalize a Strategic Plan for RC	Finalize the Strategic Plan for next 5 years	Date	2%	Dec. 10 2011	Dec. 15 2011	Dec. 20 2011	Dec. 24 2011	Dec. 31 2011	Dec 15 2011	90	1.8
			Identify potential areas of corruption related to organisation activities and develop an action plan to mitigate them	Finalize an action plan to mitigate potential areas of corruption.	%	2%	Dec. 10 2011	Dec. 15 2011	Dec. 20 2011	Dec. 24 2011	Dec. 31 2011	Dec 15 2011	90	1.8
			Implementation of Sevottam	Create a Sevottam compliant system to implement, monitor and review Citizen's	Date	2%	Dec. 10 2011	Dec. 15 2011	Dec. 20 2011	Dec. 24 2011	Dec. 31 2011	Dec 15 2011	90	1.8

				Charter										
				Create a Sevottam compliant system to redress and monitor public grievances	Date	2%	Dec. 10 2011	Dec. 15 2011	Dec. 20 2011	Dec. 24 2011	Dec. 31 2011	Dec 15 2011	90	1.8

Total Composite Score: 95.9
Rating : Excellent

Procedure for computing the Weighted and Composite Score

- 1. Weighted Score of a Success Indicator = Weight of the corresponding Success Indicator x Raw Score / 100**
- 2. Total Composite Score = Sum of Weighted Scores of all the Success Indicators**